

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
	:	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	:	
	:	1:[XX]-cr-[XXXX]-GHW
	:	
-v -	:	<u>ORDER</u>
	:	
	:	
[NAME OF DEFENDANT[S]],	:	
	:	
	:	
Defendant[s].	:	
	:	
-----	X	

GREGORY H. WOODS, United States District Judge:

Upon the application of the [United States of America/defendant], by and through [PREET BHARARA, United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, NAMES OF AUSA(s), Assistant United States Attorney(s), of counsel/his attorney, NAME OF DEFENSE ATTORNEY,] and with the consent of [DEFENDANT’S NAME/the Government], by and through [his attorney, NAME OF DEFENSE ATTORNEY/Assistant United States Attorney NAME], [it is hereby ORDERED/this Court ordered on DATE] that the pretrial conference in this case [is/be] continued from [DATE] to [DATE] at [TIME am/pm].

The Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting a continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial because [•].¹ Accordingly, it is [further] ORDERED that the time from the date of this order through [DATE] is hereby excluded

¹ Include the applicable 3161(h)(7)(A) factors here. Examples include: [it will permit the parties to continue to discuss a pretrial resolution of this matter/permit the defendant and his counsel to receive and review discovery/the case is so unusual or complex that it is unreasonable to expect adequate trial preparation within the limits established by the Speedy Trial Act/failure to grant the continuance would deny the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation]. The separate application for the exclusion of time should include a description of the facts that support the proposed exclusion of time and the facts need not be detailed in the proposed order submitted to the Court.

under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

SO ORDERED.

Dated:
New York, New York

GREGORY H. WOODS
United States District Judge